UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,096	01/13/2005	Fulvio Boldrini	2545-0464	4551
Harbin King &	7590 03/19/2007 Klima	,	EXAM	INER
500 Ninth Stre	et SE		2545-0464 4551 EXAMINER DESAI, HEMANT	EMANT
Washington, D	OC 20003		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 M(NTHS	03/19/2007	РАР	EB

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			e
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,096	BOLDRINI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit	
	Hemant M. Desai	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Ale	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			;
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examm 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain.	lrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) □ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyal rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) in view of Nishio et al. (6387028).

German Patent ('728) discloses a system for forming containers and comprises a first feed station by which a continuous strip (4, fig. 1) of a forming material is directed along a predetermined feed path, a main reel (6, fig. 1) rotatable about a relative longitudinal axis, from which the strip (4) is decoilable along the feed path, a second feed station (19, fig. 1) supplying a single file of tubular element (5, fig. 1) generated from the strip along a respective feeding direction, sealing means (24, fig. 1) operating on a first open end of each tubular element (5) in such a way as to enclose the selfsame first end (see page 9, line 27 to page 10, line 3), at least one wheel (21, see fig.) movable between a first position of reception of the tubular elements (5) and a second position alignment of the tubular elements with the sealing means (24).

German Patent ('728), as mentioned above discloses the wheel except for the wheel being rotatable around the axis, which is perpendicular to the transportation direction of the tubular elements and to the feeding direction. Nishio et al. disclose that it is known in the art to provide a wheel (32, fig. 1) movable between a first position (33,

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

fig. 1) of reception of the tubular elements (11, fig. 1) and a second position alignment of the tubular elements with the sealing means (34, 37, fig. 1) being rotatable around the axis which is perpendicular to the transportation direction and feeding direction, which are parallel to each other, of the tubular elements (see the arrow in fig. 1). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the parallel axis of the wheel of German Patent with the perpendicular axis of the wheel of Nishio et al. in order to receive the tubular elements in first position and to seal the tubular elements in the second position.

Regarding claim 2, German Patent discloses that the wheel comprises a central hub (see fig.) rotatable about a respective axis, also a plurality of supporting elements projecting radially from the hub and serving to carry the tubular elements (5), of which the supporting elements (13) each present a first end anchored to the hub and a second end remote from the first end (see fig.).

Regarding claim 3, German Patent discloses that each supporting element of the wheel presents a substantially parallelepiped shape matched to the internal geometry of the tubular element (5), in such a way that each tubular element (5) can be fitted over a respective supporting element with the relative first open end positioned at the second end of the supporting element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096

Art Unit: 3721

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) and Nishio et al. as applied to claims 1-2 above and further in view of Japanese Patent (63082736).

The modified German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses the sealing means, except for first and second joining heads to close the open end of the tubular element. However, Japanese Patent ('736) teaches the sealing means (160, fig. 31) having the first joining head (140-141, 144, figs. 26-29) and second joining head (185, 195, 199, 201, 212, figs. 34, 36 and 40) for closing the open end of the tubular element (55, fig. 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sealing means having first and second joining heads as taught by Japanese Patent ('736) in the modified system for forming containers of German Patent to for close the open end of the tubular element.

Regarding claims 5-6, the first joining head (10a) comprises two folder elements (15) by which the corresponding sides (14) of the open end (2b) are drawn together and the respective top edges (14a) of the sides matched one to another; also sealer (15a) operating on the two edges (14a) in such a way as to secure the selfsame edges one to another, and two restraints (201, figs. 37-39) positioned in alignment with the press (212, fig. 39), so that each end fold (89, fig. 4B) will locate against a respective restraint under the action of the press.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 8, the second joining head (210, fig. 39) comprises an arm (213) capable of vertical movement and offered to the flattened end folds (89) at central point on the base surface.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) and Nishio et al. as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Reil et al. (5069021).

The modified German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses all the limitations, except for a forming device positioned to coincide with the feed station and bend the blank around the former of shape corresponding to the shape of the tubular element. However, Reil et al. teach the forming device (65, fig. 3) positioned to coincide with the feed station and bend the blank around the former of shape corresponding to the shape of the tubular element. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the forming device as taught by Reil et al. in the modified system for forming containers of German Patent to bend the blank around the former in the shape of the tubular element.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) and Nishio et al. as applied to claim1 above and further in view of Williams (4530692).

German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses all the limitations, except for a gripper element which will engage the opposite edges of pre creased blank, and thereupon apply a compressive force to the opposite edges such that it will cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile when viewed in

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

section. However, Williams teaches a gripper element (28, 30, figs. 2-3) which will engage the opposite edges of pre creased blank (52, figs. 2-3), and thereupon apply a compressive force to the opposite edges (58a-58d, figs. 2-3) such that it will cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile (see col. 3, lines 5-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gripper element as taught by Williams in the system for forming containers of German Patent to cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In response to applicant's argument that Nishio et al. do not show a feeding station supplying a single file of tubular elements. Examiner would like to draw the applicant's attention to fig. 1, where Nishio et al. show a feeder (33) with the stack of files, and the feeder is supplying one file at a time to the wheel 32. The feeding direction and the transport direction of the tubular elements are parallel to each other and the axis of the wheel is perpendicular to the feeding and transport directions of the tubular elements, and the tubular elements are transported in the proximity of the wheel along a transportation direction parallel to the feeding direction.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs...

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HEMANT M. DESCI.

HEMANT M. DESAI PRIMARY EXAMINER